

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

WEDNESDAY, THE 2ND MAY 2007 / 12TH VAISAKHA 1929

WP(C).No. 13775 of 2007(M)

PETITIONER:

P.T.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR,
S/O.KELAPPAN NAIR, AGED 70 YEARS,
PARAKKUTHAZHE VEEDU, KOOTHALLY P.O.

BY ADV. SRI.V.V.NANDAGOPAL NAMBIAR
SMT.PREEJA. P.VIJAYAN

RESPONDENTS:

1. STATE CO-OPERATIVE ELECTION COMMISSION,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
2. THE RETURNING OFFICER (UNIT INSPECTOR,
PAYYOLI), CO-OPERATIVE CIRCLE GENERAL OFFICE,
KOYILANDI.
3. THE REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE
SOCIETIES, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
4. P.K.NARAYANAN NAIR, PRESIDENT,
KOOTHALLY SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE BANK,
CHENGARAKKAL HOUSE, THANDORAPPARA P.O.
5. P.C.BALAKRISHNAN, DIRECTOR,
BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF KOOTHALLY SERVICE
CO-OPERATIVE BANK, KOOTHALLY P.O.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. PUSHPALATHA

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 02/05/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

- EXT.P1: COPY OF THE NOTIFICATION NO.E201/2007/SCEC.
- EXT.P1(a): COPY OF THE NEWS ITEM PUBLISHED IN MADHYAMAM DAILY DTD
18.04.2007.
- EXT.P2: COPY OF THE NOMINATION PAPER.
- EXT.P3: COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE DATED 17.04.2007 ISSUED BY KOZHIKKODE
DISTRICT CO-OPERATIVE BANK, PERAMBRA BRANCH.
- EXT.P4: COPY OF MASS PETITION DTD 20.04.2007 SUBMITTED BY PETITIONERS AND
OTHERS.

.....

BKN

// TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE.

T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J

W.P.(C).NO. 13775 OF 2007

Dated this the 2nd day of May, 2007.

JUDGMENT

Heard the counsel for the petitioner Sri. Nandagopal Nambiar and Sri. Swathi Kumar for 4th respondent. The Writ Petition has been filed challenging the rejection of the nomination for election to the Board of Directors of the Koothally Service Co-operative Bank. It is agreed that the election is over and the new elected committee has taken charge. In the above circumstances, the remedy of the petitioner is to challenge the rejection of nomination under Section 69 of Kerala Co-operative Societies Act. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that in Ext.P4 representation certain other complaints have also been raised. The disposal of the Writ Petition will not stand by way of petitioner raising other complaints before the appropriate authority. With the above observation this Writ Petition is disposed of.

T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JUDGE.

bkn